PROJECT ZERO Data Monitoring Packet

December, 2002 Statewide Implementation

Prepared by:

Policy Analysis and Program Evaluation Division Service Delivery Administration Michigan Family Independence Agency

PROJECT ZERO SITES

Phase I - 6 Sites	7/01/1996	Phase II – 6 Sites	10/01/1997
Sites	Date Zero Achieved	Sites	Date Zero Achieved
Alpena*	7/30/1999	Berrien*	6/22/1999
Menominee*	5/20/1998	Hillsdale*	7/30/1999
Midland*	12/16/1998	Kent*	8/31/1999
Ottawa*	9/15/1997	Manistee*5/9	98, 4/99, 6/99
Wayne:		Wayne:	
Romulus*	6/22/1999	Greydale*	2/29/2000
Tireman*	7/28/1999	Warren/Conner*	12/21/1999

Phase III - 23 Sites 10/01/1998

Sites	Date Zero Achieved	Sites	Date Zero Achieved	Sites	Date Zero Achieved
Calhoun	7/19/1999 5/99, 8/99 6/15/2000 6/09/1999 6/99, 11/99	Benzie* Charlevoix* Emmet* Barry* Eaton* Ionia*	/99, 6/99, 8/99/ 9/99 5/99, 6/99, 8/99 1/11/1999 4/99, 8/99, 9/99 5/21/1999 8/10/1999 11/30/2000 10/04/2000	Fort Wayne* Fullerton* Hamtramck* Lincoln Park*	

^{*} Achieved zero targeted cases without earned income. This list may not include all months of achievement.

PROJECT ZERO SITES, continued

Phase IV - 35 Sites 10/01/1999

		Upper Peninsula Sites	Date Zero Achieved		
		Alger* Schoolcraft* Delta* Gogebic* Ontonagon*	6/07/2000 6/2000 2/09/2001		
Lower Peninsula Sites	Date Zero Achieved	Lower Peninsula Sites	Date Zero Achieved	Lower Peninsula Sites	Date Zero Achieved
Antrim*Branch*Cass*Clinton*Shiawassee*Gladwin*	5/18/2000 3/07/2000 5/27/2000 5/16/2001	Grand Traverse* Kalamazoo* Kalkaska* Lenawee* Oakland* Oscoda*	9/29/2000 10/01/1999 3/29/2000 3/29/2000	Montmorency*	7/28/2000
Lower Peninsula Sites	Date Zero Achieved	Lower Peninsula Sites	Date Zero Achieved	Lower Peninsula Sites	Date Zero Achieved
Medbury/Concord* McNichols/Goddard* Maddelein* Kercheval/Townsend*	9/27/2000 8/01/2000	Redford*Greenfield/Joy*Inkster*Schoolcraft/Stansbur	1/19/2000 10/31/2000 3/22/2000	Glendale/Trumbull* Grand River/Warren* Highland Park*	8/17/2000

^{*} Achieved zero targeted cases without earned income. This list may not include all months of achievement.

PROJECT ZERO SITES, continued

Phase V – 19 Sites 06/01/2000

Sites	Date Zero Achieved	Sites	Date Zero Achieved	Sites	Date Zero Achieved
Alcona* Allegan* Baraga* Cheboygan* Chippewa* Crawford* Dickinson*		Houghton*losco*Keweenaw*Livingston*Luce*Mackinac*	9/22/2000 10/11/2000 10/11/2000 6/20/2000 9/13/2000	Monroe* Ogemaw* Otsego*	2/23/2001 9/08/2000 8/08/2000 9/9/2000 10/09/2000

Phase VI – 15 Sites 10/01/2000 Statewide Implementation

Sites	Date Zero Achieved	Sites	Date Zero Achieved	Sites	Date Zero Achieved
Arenac*Bay Clare*Gratiot*Huron*	3/23/2001	Ingham Isabella* Jackson Lapeer Macomb	4/03/2001	Muskegon Osceola* Saginaw Tuscola Washtenaw	5/17/2001

^{*} Achieved zero targeted cases without earned income. This list may not include all months of achievement.

Project Zero

roject Zero is a part of the Family Independence Agency's continuing welfare reform initiative, *To Strengthen Michigan Families (TSMF)*, which assists recipients making the transition from dependency to self-sufficiency.

Project Zero began in 1996 as a small research project in six areas of the state designed to identify certain personal characteristics, demographic information, recipient strengths and barriers to employment--real and perceived--of FIP recipients.

The purpose of Project Zero is twofold. First, it is to identify, through the survey noted above, barriers to employment that are unique to recipients without earned income. Secondly, the project utilized the data findings to assist state agencies and community organizations to develop and implement programs and services in all sites aimed at decreasing the number of recipients without earned income.

The first six Project Zero sites became operational in July, 1996 and additional sites were phased in over a period of years. Final statewide implementation was complete in October, 2000.

Project Zero Data Monitoring Packet

Data for the Project Zero data monitoring packet is obtained from the Family Independence Agency's Client Information System (CIS). The information is point-in-time data and is obtained at the beginning of each month. This data reflects the case transactions that occurred during the previous month.

Due to the nature of the public assistance caseload, the data displayed here represents a picture of a population that is constantly changing. Families move on and off public assistance, gain or lose employment and move into or out of the project area. Cases that are transferred into a project site from other parts of the state are immediately counted as part of the target caseload.

With statewide implementation (effective October 1, 2000) Project Zero is now a service delivery mechanism for all clients. The goal is 100 percent employment for all target cases. However, there are additional measures of success in Project Zero, including:

- The drop in the number and percentage of cases without earned income.
- Movement of recipients out of public assistance as demonstrated by the declining caseload.

- An increasing number of recipients who achieve self-sufficiency and improved lives for themselves and their children.
- Community collaboration and responsibility in developing support systems and removing employment barriers.

The goal of Project Zero is to reduce to zero the number of FIP households that have **no** earned income. Thus all cases required to work will be employed and have earned income. Cases are required to work if there is **any member** age 16 or older who:

- is a mandatory Work First participant or
- is not employed full time or
- is sanctioned or penalized *and*
- the case is open more than 60 days.

The formula for determining the number of cases required to work is:

(FIP cases) minus (cases open less than 60 days) minus (Work First deferred cases, i.e., those not required to work).

Recipients are <u>deferred</u> from Work First or are meeting participation requirements and are **not** required to work if they meet one of the criteria listed below:

- Parent of a child under 3 months.
- Single parent who personally provides care for a child under age 6 when adequate child care is not available.
- Under 16 or over 65 years of age.
- Full-time students between the ages of 16 and 18.
- Minor parent who is in school full-time.
- Temporary incapacity (expected to last 3 months or less).
- Applicant for SSI through the Social Security Administration.
- Providing care for an incapacitated spouse: Note Effective 10/01/02 the deferral for caring for an incapacitated spouse will require an assessment of need.
- Providing care for an SSI child or a child eligible for the Early-On program: This deferral is changed from automatic to mandating an assessment of the circumstances in the family. Parents must participate in Work First unless they are participating in Early On activity or are needed in the home to care for the child on SSI. Parents with children in school or able to attend day care will only be deferred to the extent that parental care is needed.

- Full-time volunteer for VISTA, Job Corps or AmeriCorp.
- Participants in transitional housing programs funded by HUD or MSHDA.
- Experiencing a temporary critical event, such as homelessness or domestic violence (deferral of up to 3 months at local office discretion).

The focus of Project Zero is on achieving 100% employment for clients in the project sites who are required to work. To help clients obtain employment, FIA staff will be working with them to eliminate barriers to employment and promote independence.

Additional resources are being developed within the agency as well as efforts to coordinate with other departments such as Transportation, MSHDA, and the Department of Career Development. Community agencies are also being asked for their assistance in providing resources for the project effort. Training in Strength Based/Solution Focused programs has taken place for staff involved in the project.


Data Source: SW-210.

[lee]Project0/pz2002.doc./11-06-02

PROJECT ZERO TOTAL OF ALL STATEWIDE SITES

Target Group Cases: With and Without Earned Income Point-in-Time Data


Percentage of Cases with Earned Income



PROJECT ZERO TOTAL OF ALL WAYNE COUNTY SITES

Target Group Cases: With and Without Earned Income Point-in-Time Data

Percentage of Cases with Earned Income




Wayne County sites Tireman, Greydale, Warren/Conner, Forest/Ellery, Fort Wayne, Fullerton, Hamtramck, Lincoln Park, Taylor, Medbury/Concord, McNichols, Maddelein, Redford, Greenfield/Joy, Inkster, Schoolcraft, Glendale, Grand River/Warren and Highland Park.

PROJECT ZERO TOTAL OF ALL OUTSTATE SITES

Target Group Cases: With and Without Earned Income Point-in-Time Data

Percentage of Cases with Earned Income



ALL COUNTIES USING PROJECT ZERO METHODOLOGY, DECEMBER 2002

	0 / / 1000#	Total	Number	Number of	TΔR	GET GROUP CA	ASES
COUNTY	September 1992* AFDC Caseload	FIP	Open	Work First	Number	Percent With	Percent Without
	Al DC Caseload	Caseload	< 60 Days	Deferred Cases	of Cases	Earned Income	Earned Income
1 Alcona	155	43	6	30	7	29%	71%
2 Alger	93	18	2	15	1	0%	100%
3 Allegan	1,118	316	76	197	43	47%	53%
4 Alpena	587	165	44	106	15	87%	13%
5 Antrim	246	56	21	31	4	100%	0%
6 Arenac	387	92	10	70	12	25%	75%
7 Baraga	184	35	13	14	8	12%	88%
8 Barry	671	188	58	103	27	52%	48%
9 Bay	2,438	642	124	348	170	42%	58%
10 Benzie	157	40	10	26	4	75%	25%
11 Berrien	4,351	1,369	245	939	185	65%	35%
12 Branch	652	205	79	69	57	44%	56%
13 Calhoun	3,531	1,102	237	666	199	41%	59%
14 Cass	1,032	277	68	180	29	66%	34%
15 Charlevoix	282	52	15	36	1	100%	0%
16 Cheboygan	412	109	22	76	11	55%	45%
17 Chippewa	552	141	49	69	23	35%	65%
18 Clare	938	221	45	160	16	44%	56%
19 Clinton	502	104	20	75	9	67%	33%
20 Crawford	258	62	16	34	12	58%	42%
21 Delta	667	136	32	77	27	89%	11%
22 Dickinson	286	71	17	49	5	100%	0%
23 Eaton	886	274	58	188	28	75%	25%
24 Emmet	253	61	15	44	2	50%	50%
25 Genesee	16,817	5,730	685	3,342	1,703	49%	51%
26 Gladwin	597	129	22	93	14	43%	57%
27 Gogebic	303	106	24	54	28	43%	57%
28 Grand Traverse	547	135	30	87	18	61%	39%
29 Gratiot	753	149	45	84	20	40%	60%
30 Hillsdale	674	162	51	89	22	50%	50%
31 Houghton	573	101	28	59	14	71%	29%
32 Huron	566	102	24	55	23	39%	61%
33 Ingham	6,048	1,432	264	937	231	61%	39%
34 Ionia	821	233	68	128	37	35%	65%
35 losco	427	129	24	80	25	48%	52%
36 Iron	168	57	14	35	8	75%	25%
37 Isabella	923	227	38	142	47	32%	68%
38 Jackson	3,136	1,028	140	635	253	35%	65%
39 Kalamazoo	4,256	1,433	310	788	335	36%	64%
40 Kalkaska	257	59	19	33	7	71%	29%
41 Kent	7,803	3,753	585	2,338	830	50%	50%
42 Keweenaw	15	5	3	2	0	0%	0%

^{*} September 1992 AFDC caseload reflects caseload prior to implementation of To Strengthen Michigan Families. Note: Percents may not add due to rounding.

ALL COUNTIES USING PROJECT ZERO METHODOLOGY, DECEMBER 2002

		1	Tatal	Ni wali an		TAR	GET GROUP CA	\SES
	COUNTY	September 1992* AFDC Caseload	Total FIP Caseload	Number Open < 60 Days	Number of Work First Deferred Cases	Number of Cases	Percent With Earned Income	Percent Without Earned Income
43	Lake	362	139	30	88	21	24%	76%
44	Lapeer	908	228	58	122	48	44%	56%
45	Leelanau	113	33	9	24	0	0%	0%
46	Lenawee	1,495	341	82	221	38	66%	34%
47	Livingston	720	123	18	95	10	70%	30%
48	Luce	111	53	8	29	16	44%	56%
49	Mackinac	95	25	5	18	2	0%	100%
50	Macomb	6,108	1,873	268	1,258	347	41%	59%
51	Manistee	400	105	27	63	15	67%	33%
52	Marquette	848	197	45	109	43	49%	51%
53	Mason	503	159	35	87	37	46%	54%
54	Mecosta	745	172	37	113	22	45%	55%
55	Menominee	243	109	33	63	13	31%	69%
56	Midland	1,169	250	50	155	45	60%	40%
57	Missaukee*	263						
58	Monroe	2,000	510	87	375	48	46%	54%
59	Montcalm	1,134	246	64	138	44	30%	70%
60	Montmorency	202	56	19	26	11	64%	36%
61	Muskegon	4,660	2,315	364	1,101	850	34%	66%
62	Newaygo	765	258	60	173	25	60%	40%
63	Oakland	10,819	2,771	321	1,939	511	45%	55%
64	Oceana	483	267	79	117	71	38%	62%
65	Ogemaw	545	136	34	92	10	80%	20%
66	Ontonagon	124	37	10	17	10	90%	10%
67	Osceola	497	135	37	83	15	33%	67%
68	Oscoda	172	53	6	39	8	100%	0%
69	Otsego	191	81	19	56	6	67%	33%
70	Ottawa	1,238	388	105	256	27	22%	78%
71	Presque Isle	189	32	5	24	3	67%	33%
72	Roscommon	572	155	32	106	17	76%	24%
73	Saginaw	7,302	2,975	314	1,442	1,219	52%	48%
74	St. Clair	2,745	876	203	412	261	34%	66%
75	St. Joseph	1,026	284	69	196	19	32%	68%
76	Sanilac	729	203	46	121	36	33%	67%
77	Schoolcraft	199	42	9	25	8	12%	88%
78	Shiawassee	1,196	251	52	151	48	58%	42%
79	Tuscola	958	209	51	122	36	53%	47%
80	Van Buren	1,811	598	145	371	82	35%	65%
81	Washtenaw	3,048	949	146	532	271	33%	67%
82	Wayne	90,574	28,398	2,885	15,409	10,104	35%	65%
83	Wexford/Missaukee**	618	228	40	158	30	33%	67%
	Statewide Total	213,202	67,009	9,563	38,509	18,937	40%	60%

^{*} September 1992 AFDC caseload reflects caseload prior to implementation of To Strengthen Michigan Families. ** Missaukee County is now part of a dual county - Missaukee/Wexford - and data is combined under Wexford.

ALL COUNTIES USING PROJECT ZERO METHODOLOGY, DECEMBER 2002

	WAYNE COUNTY	September 1992*	Total	Number	Number of	TAR	GET GROUP CA	
	DISTRICT OFFICES	AFDC Caseload	FIP Caseload	Open < 60 Days	Work First Deferred Cases	Number of Cases	Percent With Earned Income	Percent Without Earned Income
	ZONE VII**							
41	Fort Wayne	3,343	1,496	133	979	384	39%	61%
43	Glendale	2,671	2,046	182	1,023	841	34%	66%
49	Grand River/Warren	2,050	922	123	456	343	42%	58%
55	Hamtramck	4,758	966	99	618	249	49%	51%
56	Highland Park	2,383	769	56	375	338	31%	69%
57	Medbury	2,714	1,244	112	567	565	39%	61%
59	McNichols/Goddard	4,346	1,268	136	579	553	29%	71%
73	Forest/Ellery	2,594	1,277	118	695	464	34%	66%
74	Kercheval***	2,815						
76	Maddelein		3,240	343	1,582	1,315	36%	64%
79	Warren/Conner	2,943	1,637	142	756	739	33%	67%
80	Lafayette/Hospital Corridor	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	TOTAL	30,617	14,865	1,444	7,630	5,791	36%	64%
	ZONE VIII**							
13	Redford	3,970	944	85	697	162	36%	64%
14	Lincoln Park	3,092	863	71	604	188	37%	63%
15	Greydale	4,706	2,284	266	1,274	744	34%	66%
17	Greenfield/Joy	4,385	1,746	223	1,075	448	37%	63%
18	Taylor	3,328	810	113	525	172	40%	60%
19	Inkster	3,001	1,572	166	953	453	41%	59%
32	Tireman	3,223	1,863	142	871	850	27%	73%
35	Schoolcraft	4,131	1,817	208	956	653	35%	65%
39	Fullerton	4,303	1,597	166	794	637	35%	65%
	TOTAL	34,139	13,496	1,440	7,749	4,307	34%	66%
99	WAYNE - OTHER	1	37	1	30	6	0%	100%
WAY	NE COUNTY TOTAL	71,316	28,398	2,885	15,409	10,104	35%	65%
OUT	STATE TOTAL	122,628	38,611	6,678	23,100	8,833	46%	54%
STA	TE TOTALS	213,203	67,009	9,563	38,509	18,937	40%	60%
PRC	JECT SITE TOTAL	N/A	67,009	9,563	38,509	18,937	40%	60%

^{*} September 1992 AFDC caseload reflects caseload prior to implementation of To Strengthen Michigan Families. ** Wayne County Districts have been realigned into Zones VII and VIII as outlined above effective August, 2002.

Note: Percents may not add due to rounding.

^{***} The Kercheval District has been closed.

CASES WITHOUT EARNED INCOME USING PROJECT ZERO METHODOLOGY

			JULY, 1996	;	NO	VEMBER, 2	002		DECEME	BER, 2002	
	COUNTY	Target Group Cases	Number Without Earned Income	Percent Without Earned Income	Target Group Cases	Number Without Earned Income	Percent Without Earned Income	Target Group Cases	Number Without Earned Income	Percent Without Earned Income	Percent Change From Previous Month
1	Alcona	73	26	36%	7	7	100%	7	5	71%	-29%
2	Alger	53	23	43%	0	0	0%	1	1	100%	0%
3	Allegan	402	217	54%	31	12	39%	43	23	53%	92%
4	Alpena	294	106	36%	14	3	21%	15	2	13%	-33%
5	Antrim	80	36	45%	5	1	20%	4	0	0%	-100%
6	Arenac	175	75	43%	11	9	82%	12	9	75%	0%
7	Baraga	104	54	52%	6	4	0%	8	7	88%	75%
8	Barry	254	114	45%	24	9	38%	27	13	48%	44%
9	Bay	1,397	566	41%	153	85	56%	170	98	58%	15%
10	Benzie	46	19	41%	3	2	67%	4	1	25%	-50%
11	Berrien	2,031	1,073	53%	187	62	33%	185	64	35%	3%
12	Branch	245	131	53%	49	28	57%	57	32	56%	14%
13	Calhoun	1,681	913	54%	168	86	51%	199	117	59%	36%
14	Cass	471	307	65%	22	4	18%	29	10	34%	150%
15	Charlevoix	75	33	44%	4	2	50%	1	0	0%	-100%
16	Cheboygan	160	58	36%	11	6	55%	11	5	45%	-17%
17	Chippewa	233	115	49%	17	11	65%	23	15	65%	36%
18	Clare	495	242	49%	15	11	73%	16	9	56%	-18%
19	Clinton	223	91	41%	15	8	53%	9	3	33%	-63%
20	Crawford	159	65	41%	12	6	50%	12	5	42%	-17%
21	Delta	411	207	50%	28	2	7%	27	3	11%	50%
22	Dickinson	185	105	57%	4	0	0%	5	0	0%	0%
23	Eaton	463	234	51%	39	12	31%	28	7	25%	-42%
24	Emmet	102	40	39%	2	2	0%	2	1	50%	-50%
25	Genesee	9,926	6,135	62%	1,636	796	49%	1,703	861	51%	8%
26	Gladwin	269	91	34%	13	7	54%	14	8	57%	14%
27	Gogebic	220	144	65%	26	12	46%	28	16	57%	33%
28	Grand Traverse	201	87	43%	19	10	53%	18	7	39%	-30%
29	Gratiot	378	201	53%	16	8	50%	20	12	60%	50%
30	Hillsdale	228	129	57%	21	11	52%	22	11	50%	0%
31	Houghton	307	118	38%	11	2	18%	14	4	29%	100%
32	Huron	196	76	39%	18	11	61%	23	14	61%	27%
33	Ingham	3,323	1,595	48%	222	66	30%	231	89	39%	35%
34	Ionia	355	179	50%	29	16	55%	37	24	65%	50%
35	losco	194	87	45%	24	16	67%	25	13	52%	-19%
36	Iron	97	45	46%	7	1	14%	8	2	25%	100%
37	Isabella	420	206	49%	37	20	54%	47	32	68%	60%
38	Jackson	1,620	895	55%	229	146	64%	253	164	65%	12%
39	Kalamazoo	2,054	1,225	60%	345	226	66%	335	214	64%	-5%
40	Kalkaska	68	34	50%	4	1	0%	7	2	29%	100%
41	Kent	3,889	2,383	61%	742	308	42%	830	418	50%	36%
42	Keweenaw	4	2	50%	0	0	0%	0	0	0%	0%

Note: Percents may not add due to rounding.

CASES WITHOUT EARNED INCOME USING PROJECT ZERO METHODOLOGY

		JULY, 1996		NO	VEMBER, 2	002		DECEMB	ER, 2002	
COUNTY	Target Group Cases	Number Without Earned Income	Percent Without Earned Income	Target Group Cases	Number Without Earned Income	Percent Without Earned Income	Target Group Cases	Number Without Earned Income	Percent Without Earned Income	Percent Change From Previous Month
43 Lake	159	91	57%	19	14	74%	21	16	76%	14%
44 Lapeer	309	134	43%	38	22	58%	48	27	56%	23%
45 Leelanau	25	11	44%	1	1	0%	0	0	0%	-100%
46 Lenawee	697	392	56%	43	12	28%	38	13	34%	8%
47 Livingston	272	141	52%	8	4	50%	10	3	30%	-25%
48 Luce	74	35	47%	11	3	27%	16	9	56%	200%
49 Mackinac	44	23	52%	1	1	0%	2	2	100%	100%
50 Macomb	3,358	1,791	53%	318	179	56%	347	206	59%	15%
51 Manistee	199	94	47%	15	6	40%	15	5	33%	-17%
52 Marquette	481	183	38%	37	18	49%	43	22	51%	22%
53 Mason	222	101	45%	28	11	39%	37	20	54%	82%
54 Mecosta	384	206	54%	20	7	35%	22	12	55%	71%
55 Menominee	116	57	49%	11	6	55%	13	9	69%	50%
56 Midland	676	284	42%	47	23	49%	45	18	40%	-22%
57 Missaukee*	127	54	43%							
58 Monroe	948	466	49%	41	24	59%	48	26	54%	8%
59 Montcalm	422	229	54%	44	29	66%	44	31	70%	7%
60 Montmorency	86	30	35%	9	5	56%	11	4	36%	-20%
61 Muskegon	2,344	1,370	58%	804	507	63%	850	559	66%	10%
62 Newaygo	297	183	62%	27	12	44%	25	10	40%	-17%
63 Oakland	6,042	3,631	60%	505	260	51%	511	282	55%	8%
64 Oceana	191	83	43%	60	34	57%	71	44	62%	29%
65 Ogemaw	268	97	36%	14	4	29%	10	2	20%	-50%
66 Ontonagon	60	34	57%	7	0	0%	10	1	10%	0%
67 Osceola	266	141	53%	10	4	40%	15	10	67%	150%
68 Oscoda	91	44	48%	6	0	0%	8	0	0%	0%
69 Otsego	72	33	46%	6	2	33%	6	2	33%	0%
70 Ottawa	376	161	43%	27	19	70%	27	21	78%	11%
71 Presque Isle	65	24	37%	4	1	0%	3	1	33%	0%
72 Roscommon	307	118	38%	15	5	33%	17	4	24%	-20%
73 Saginaw	4,616	2,435	53%	1,202	558	46%	1,219	588	48%	5%
74 St. Clair	1,207	587	49%	228	131	57%	261	173	66%	32%
75 St. Joseph	396	248	63%	12	6	50%	19	13	68%	117%
76 Sanilac	224	85	38%	34	22	65%	36	24	67%	9%
77 Schoolcraft	121	66	55%	7	3	43%	8	7	88%	133%
78 Shiawassee	488	223	46%	38	9	24%	48	20	42%	122%
79 Tuscola	355	155	44%	33	12	36%	36	17	47%	42%
80 Van Buren	756	396	52%	81	42	52%	82	53	65%	26%
81 Washtenaw	1,663	970	58%	251	155	62%	271	182	67%	17%
82 Wayne	60,090	46,029	77%	9,820	6,222	63%	10,104	6,554	65%	5%
83 Wexford**	242	117	48%	29	16	55%	30	20	67%	25%
Statewide Total	122,697	80,034	65%	18,137	10,418	57%	18,937	11,331	60%	9%

^{*} Missaukee County is now part of a dual county - Missaukee/Wexford - and data is combined under Wexford. Note: Percents may not add due to rounding.

CASES WITHOUT EARNED INCOME USING PROJECT ZERO METHODOLOGY

\\/\\	'NE COUNTY DISTRICT		JULY, 1996		NO	VEMBER, 2	002		DECEME	BER, 2002	
VVA	OFFICES	Target Group Cases	Number Without Earned Income	Percent Without Earned Income	Target Group Cases	Number Without Earned Income	Percent Without Earned Income	Target Group Cases	Number Without Earned Income	Percent Without Earned Income	Percent Change From Previous Month
	ZONE VII										
41	Fort Wayne	2,664	2,038	77%	365	212	58%	384	235	61%	11%
43	Glendale	1,511	1,119	74%	813	523	64%	841	558	66%	7%
49	Grand River/Warren	1,677	1,360	81%	336	190	57%	343	200	58%	5%
55	Hamtramck	2,204	1,710	78%	240	114	48%	249	128	51%	12%
56	Highland Park	1,844	1,480	80%	335	230	69%	338	232	69%	1%
57	Medbury	1,950	1,588	81%	543	325	60%	565	342	61%	5%
59	McNichols/Goddard	3,332	2,674	80%	523	371	71%	553	391	71%	5%
73	Forest/Ellery	1,534	1,156	75%	453	287	63%	464	306	66%	7%
74	Kercheval**	1,684	1,265	75%							
76	Maddelein				1,263	789	62%	1,315	836	64%	6%
79	Warren/Conner	1,997	1,682	84%	713	469	66%	739	498	67%	6%
80	Lafayette/Hospital Corridor	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	TOTAL	20,397	16,072	79%	5,584	3,510	63%	5,791	3,726	64%	6%
	ZONE VIII										
	Redford	2,181	1,520	70%	160	101	63%	162	103	64%	2%
	Lincoln Park	1,946	1,303	67%	195	121	62%	188	119	63%	-2%
15	Greydale/Grand River	3,115	2,473	79%	693	438	63%	744	493	66%	13%
17	Greenfield/Joy	2,977	2,352	79%	440	267	61%	448	284	63%	6%
18	Taylor	1,988	1,203	61%	177	105	59%	172	103	60%	-2%
	Inkster	2,826	1,813	64%	433	240	55%	453	266	59%	11%
	Tireman/Central	1,921	1,458	76%	843	611	72%	850	618	73%	1%
	Schoolcraft/Stansbury	3,032	2,349	77%	662	423	64%	653	424	65%	0%
	Fullerton/Jeffries	2,677	2,199	82%	626	400	64%	637	412	65%	3%
	TOTAL	19,986	14,471	72%	4,229	2,706	64%	4,307	2,822	66%	4%
99	WAYNE - OTHER	126	71	11%	7	6	86%	6	6	100%	0%
WAY	NE COUNTY TOTAL	60,090	46,029	77%	9,820	6,222	63%	10,104	6,554	65%	5%
OUT	STATE TOTAL	62,607	34,005	54%	8,317	4,196	50%	8,833	4,777	54%	14%
STAT	TE TOTALS	122,697	80,034	65%	18,137	10,418	57%	18,937	11,331	60%	9%
PRO	JECT SITE TOTAL	4,691	2,807	60%	18,137	10,418	57%	18,937	11,331	60%	9%

^{**} Wayne County Districts have been realigned into Zones VII and VIII as outlined above effective August 2002. The Kercheval district has been closed.

Note: Percents may not add due to rounding. [rml]Project0/PZEICdecember02.xls/01-06-2003